

MINUTES

Commission on Student Affairs

University Council, Virginia Tech

March 21, 2019

3:30 pm – 5:00 pm

Brush Mountain B, Squires Student Center

Present: John Livingston, Collin Shelton, Saron Minasie, Jes Davis, Tara Frank, Susan Anderson, Samantha Fried, Rebecca Forest, Elysia Budu, Billy Lin, Jonathon Poff, Sam Felber, Caed Cunningham, Rachel Iwicki, Lauren Surface, Patty Perillo, Angela Simmons

Absent: Joe Clark, Ruofan Shen, Veronica Scott, Marc Lewis, Gregory Klatt, Sebastian Murillo, Abdul-Rahman Sanuri, Lotus Wang

Present via Zoom: Terri Pecora, Colie Touzel, Claire Oliveros, Clara Wynne

Guests: Tim Hodge, Brennan Shepard, Ennis McCrery, Gina Tamburro, Eryn Peters, Kobyl Oxiuboyer, Juan Pacheco, Nick Woods, Latifa Alghanim, Chris Brassel, Cameron Donaldson, Kim O'Rourke, April Myers, Tamara Cherry-Clarke, Scott Nachlis

John Livingston called the meeting to order at 3:34pm. A quorum was present.

1. Introductions & Attendance (John Allen Livingston)

Review of future meeting locations as shown below:

- April 4th – GLC Multipurpose Room
- April 18th – Brush Mountain B
- April 25th – Brush Mountain B

2. Approval of Agenda (John Allen Livingston)

A motion was made and seconded to approve the agenda. The motion carried.

3. Guests

2019-2020 Tuition and Fees Presentation (Tim Hodge and Brennan Shepard)

Mr. Tim Hodge from the Office of the VP for Finance gave a presentation on the 2019-2020 Tuition and Fees proposals to the Board of Visitors, including the processes and metrics his office uses to ensure tuition and fees are keeping Virginia Tech as high value and low cost as possible. CSA members discussed at length the information presented. (Presentation attached.)

4. University Council and Commission Updates

A. University Council (John Allen Livingston)

Held on March 4th John was at ACPA and therefore was not able to attend. However, Sam Felber was in attendance.

Below are the updates per the minutes published for the March 4, 2019 meeting.

Commission on Graduate Studies and Policies

Resolution CGSP 2018-19D

Resolution to Create a New Full-Time Candidate Status for Doctoral Students

Dr. Rajesh Bagchi presented the resolution for second reading and made a motion to approve, and the motion was seconded. Dr. Bagchi informed the members of University Council that the implementation of Candidate Status is contingent upon the university being able to devise a plan that would reduce the financial impact to an acceptable level. Dr. Bagchi gave a summary of the purpose and benefits of this resolution on behalf of the graduate school (attached). It is understood that this resolution will not be implemented immediately because the president will need to determine where it ranks among competing priorities. Dr. Paul Winistorfer reiterated that University Council is advisory to the president, and decisions about financial matters should be deferred to the president and the budget office.

Dr. John Ferris, Faculty Senate President, indicated that he has a list of many reasons why this is good for the faculty and for faculty research. Dr. Ferris stated that he is glad that this conversation is happening at University Council even though there is a significant financial component to the resolution because constituent groups have an opportunity to voice their opinion on the matter. From a purely faculty position, there is support for this resolution for reasons such as recruiting and retention of faculty, graduate student support, the ability to bring in research, etc. Since this is a recommendation to the president, the resolution should pass so that the president can make a determination as to what the priorities are.

Dr. Robert Sumichrast, Dean of the Pamplin College of Business, indicated that he supports the resolution, but would like to know if there is a preliminary indication from budget office that the Candidate Status can be financed next year. Provost Clarke indicated that there has not been a confirmation that this can be implemented next year because of the absence of financing and the absence of being able to prioritize this particular project to other projects that pertain to the general goal of advancing graduate education. Provost Clarke then stated that this question should then perhaps be directed to the Dean of the Graduate School to see if the resources are available in the Graduate School to fund this proposal in the immediacy.

A concern was raised that if we do not move forward with the full-time Candidate Status, Virginia Tech will not be competitive and may have difficulty attracting international students. A request was made to have more information on how this proposal compares to peer institutions. Ms. Samantha Fried, President of the Graduate Student Assembly, stated that one of her colleagues in the Department of Science, Technology, and Society has conducted research on peer institutions in regards to reduced tuition for doctoral students. Of the twenty-five SCHEV approved institutions, 64% have a reduced tuition policy specifically for All But Dissertation (ABD) graduate students.

Provost Clarke informed the members that this resolution is not about program, but is about money. Usually resolutions about money are not brought to University Council. Provost Clarke indicated that the relevancy of University Council is being brought into question because this resolution is not binding. He referenced concerns that he raised about the resolution that were documented in the February 18 minutes.

Dr. Karen DePauw, Dean of the Graduate School, indicated that passing this resolution could help elevate this proposal as a priority. This proposal does have financial implications as an academic decision. The last whereas statement was added to the resolution to make sure that if the resolution passes, that this proposal is not binding. There have been months of conversations regarding the budget implications with the budget office and the Graduate School.

The vote was taken and the motion passed with a majority.

Commission on Graduate Studies and Policies

Resolution CGSP 2018-19E

Resolution on Policy Management of Graduate Assistantships and Tuition Remission

Dr. Rajesh Bagchi presented the resolution for second reading and made a motion to approve. The motion was seconded, and the motion passed. [Note: This vote approves the resolution. It is not a vote on the policy itself.]

B. Commission on Equal Opportunity and Diversity (Elysia Budu)

- Elysia was unable to attend the meeting but was able to sit down with president of board for a one on one discussion that included the campus development plan on disabilities. Discussion was had about resident halls and facilities about to go up having required access for anyone with a disability. They will also have all gender restrooms. Campus accessibility is now a foundational criterion for capital construction.
- Update from The Indigenous Peoples' Day Recognition workgroup has completed its charge and the resolution has passed.
- The Governance & Recognition workgroup will gather soon to work on Principles of Community Awards.
- The International Issues workgroup has not met as of yet.

C. Academic Support Committee (John Allen Livingston)

No update as currently there is no CSA member going to the meetings.

5. Old Business

Mock Interviews with Undergraduate BOV Rep Candidates (Rachel Iwicki)

One at a time two of our finalists will go through the interview process with us like they will be doing for the Board of Visitors. Each finalist will give their opening statement/introduction including: 1) who they are 2) why they want to be in this role and 3) areas to which they want to work on.

Feedback: short Google Form with basic strengths and areas of improvement to streamline the process. It is available at tinyurl.com/csabov19.

6. New Business

A. Hokie Handbook Resolutions (Ennis McCrery)

John states this is the first hearing and then there will be a second. Ennis will be given time to share a brief overview and there will also be time for a few questions. In addition, there will be more time for questions at the second hearing on April 4th.

Resolution #1: Revision to the weapons policy to put it in line with other policies.

Introduction was given of why/how these came about. What was realized last year, 2017/2018 is that the weapons policy in Student Code of Conduct was not well aligned from a verbiage perspective with the overall University Policy #5616. In particular, the Code of Conduct only prohibited ammunition in residence halls - whereas Policy #5616 outlines prohibitions ammunition on any university facility including parking lots. Much broader than just residence halls.

The new language being proposed is taken directly from Policy #5615 so they mirror each other.

They propose the section on expanded weapons policy in the student handbook, that was part, but not all of Policy #5616. They are asking it be deleted completely and will refer them to actual Policy #5616.

Resolution #2: adding a bias statement to the code of conduct, which says that policy violations motivated by bias based on identity will receive more severe sanctions

Bias enhancement policy came from students of the NAACP group working with Dr. Perillo. The best way to explain this policy is that it is very similar to a hate crime statute. If a policy in the code of conduct has been violated and that violation is motivated by hate, or bias against an individual in a protected class, as outlined in Policy #1025, that would be an aggravated factor and thus would be a higher sanction.

Best example of this would be if someone is physically assaulted, beaten up and that assault was motivated by gender identity, race, sexual orientation.

B. CISO Constitution (Kobyl Oxiuboyer)

No questions, feedback or comments were made. Therefore, a motion was made and seconded to approve the CISO Constitution. The motion carried.

C. UCSO Contingency Reallocation (Eryn Peters)

Budget Board is asking approval to move funds from the UCSO (University Chartered Student Organization) contingency fund so that RSO's (Registered Student Organizations) can use. A deadline was given to all UCSO's to which they could apply for money for their organization but that deadline has now passed.

Original amount was \$32,000.

Amount of Funds used is \$14,000.

Leaving \$17,000 requested to be moved.

Budget Board currently has a waitlist of RSO's asking for funds for conferences and competitions should this money be made available to them.

Motion was made and seconded to approve the move of funds to RSO allocation. The motion carried.

7. Announcements & Updates

A. Student Affairs (Dr. Angela Simmons)

- Next Wednesday, March 27th @ 4pm in the Black Cultural Center, the Department of Religion and Culture is having a Teach-in about Disrupting Islamophobia
- Wednesday, April 3rd @ 7pm in the Moss Arts Center. Student Affairs is working with the Women's Center to bring an Evening with Mae Jemison. Mae is an American engineer, physician, and NASA astronaut who was the first African-American woman to travel in space. (Flier attached.)
- Jes Davis mentioned the application deadline Step Up Leaders (community service) is Monday, March 25th. Any questions, please contact Jes.
- Dr. Perillo mentioned that given what happened in New Zealand last Friday that our Muslim colleagues and friends need a lot of love and support right now. Do what you can to love them, care for them, and reach out to them.

B. Student Government Association (Sam Felber)

No updates.

C. Graduate Student Assembly (Sam Fried)

No updates.

D. Board of Visitors Representatives (Rachel Iwicki)

- Mental Health Task Force has wrapped up and submitted their report.

- Student Luncheon with Administration of Dr. Perillo great discussions with a variety of students. Great feedback, thoughts, and concerns from just regular students in regards to the University was brought forth.
 - Since luncheons are limited Rachel has been working with President Sands about planning more regular, informal student luncheons where they just go to the dining halls and advertise that anyone can come to talk to them.
 - First one took place prior to Spring Break in which a couple of people came. They are still trying to work out the correct amount of advertising to do.
 - They are in the midst of planning another luncheon so please feel free to encourage members of your organization to come out so they can talk directly to members of the administration. Everyone is welcome to come join us for some great conversations!

E. Other, etc. (CSA Representatives)


No additional updates.

8. Adjournment

There being no further business, a motion was made to adjourn the meeting at 4:57pm.

Budget Background

University Division Revenue Sources Educational & General Program 2018-19



Components	\$ Millions	%
Tuition & Fees	\$552.4	72.5%
General Fund*	164.4	21.6%
All Other Income	27.8	3.6%
Sales & Services	17.3	2.3%
Total	\$762.0	100%

The Commonwealth of Virginia intends to subsidize the cost of education for resident students.

2019-20 Known Cost Drivers

Major Components of the Budget include:

- Faculty Compensation & Assistantships
- Staff Compensation
 - Pay band 1-3 minimum increase
- Fixed Cost Increases (electricity, leases, etc)
- New faculty hires
- Enrollment growth support
- Student Financial Aid
 - \$5m: Largest investment into Student Financial Aid in university history
 - Expansion of Funds for the Future: Tuition protection for families earning up to \$100k

2019-20 Tuition and E&G Fee Recommendation

	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>Proposed</u> <u>2019-20</u>	<u>Increase</u>	
			<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>Undergraduate</u>				
	\$	\$		
Resident	11,595	11,595*	\$ 0	0%
Nonresident	29,883	30,739	856	2.9%
<u>Graduate</u>				
Resident	13,485	13,876	391	2.9%
Nonresident	27,604	28,393	789	2.9%

**Virginia Undergraduate Tuition and E&G Fees held to 2018-19 levels due to new state funding in*

2019-20 Comprehensive Fee and Room & Board

	<u>2018-19</u> <u>Charge</u>	<u>Proposed</u> <u>2019-20</u>	<u>Increase</u>	
			<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>
	\$			
Comprehensive Fee	2,025	\$ 2,096	\$ 71	3.5%
Room & Board	8,934	9,342	408	4.6%

2019-20 Student Service Enhancements

- **Health Services:** Cook Counseling Center service, Pharmacy Technician, Financial Literacy Coordinator, Health Center Equipment, Health Professional Recruitment & Retention
- **Wireless:** Robust Wireless System Equipment Refreshment
- **Transit:** Enhanced High Volume Routes and Campus Shuttles
- **Career & Professional Development:** Associate Director of Experience and Professional Development, Mentorship Program Software
- **Student Engagement & Campus Life:** Black Cultural Center Program Coordinator, Student Organizations Assistant Director, Cultural & Community Center Programming Support, Student Organizations Program Support
- **Recreational Sports:** Venture Out Program Coordinator, Sport Club Funding, Fitness Facility Enhancements and Technology/Equipment Refreshment
- **Dining Services:** Associate Director of Dining Services, Graphic Artist, Wage & Salary Retention Support, Inflation of Food Costs, Capital Project Planning
- **Residence Halls:** Facilities; Resident Advisor Wage & Salary Retention Support

Virginia Public Four-Year Institutions, 2018-19 Resident Undergraduate

Institutions	Tuition and E&G Fees			Tuition and Mandatory Fees			Total Cost		
	\$	%	Rank	\$	%	Rank	\$	%	Rank
William and Mary (Freshmen)	\$ 17,570	6.4%	1	\$ 23,400	6.2%	1	\$ 35,636	5.3%	1
Virginia Military Institute	9,284	4.5%	5	18,862	3.6%	2	28,344	3.3%	2
University of Virginia (First Year)	14,148	2.4%	2	16,512	2.8%	3	27,864	3.0%	3
Christopher Newport University	9,100	10.0%	6	14,754	8.1%	4	26,214	5.4%	4
Virginia Commonwealth University	12,247	6.7%	3	14,490	6.4%	5	24,918	4.6%	5
Longwood University	7,940	4.2%	10	13,340	4.9%	7	24,366	5.3%	6
George Mason University	9,060	4.5%	7	12,462	4.5%	9	23,922	3.9%	7
University of Mary Washington	8,678	4.5%	8	12,654	4.3%	8	23,384	4.7%	8
Virginia Tech	11,595	2.9%	4	13,620	2.9%	6	22,554	2.9%	9
James Madison University	7,250	16.0%	11	12,016	10.5%	10	22,528	7.3%	10
Old Dominion University	7,047	6.0%	12	10,872	5.0%	12	21,334	4.2%	11
Radford University	7,980	7.0%	9	11,210	5.5%	11	20,616	4.3%	12
University of Virginia's College at Wise	5,694	3.0%	15	10,119	3.0%	13	20,557	2.1%	13
Virginia State University	5,769	4.0%	13	9,056	3.8%	15	20,264	3.4%	14

Virginia Public Four-Year Institutions, 2018-19 Nonresident Undergraduate

<u>Institutions</u>	<u>Tuition and E&G Fees</u>			<u>Tuition and Mandatory Fees</u>			<u>Total Cost</u>		
	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>
University of Virginia	\$ 45,872	3.5%	1	\$ 48,236	3.5%	1	\$59,588	3.5%	1
William and Mary	39,442	3.4%	2	45,272	3.7%	3	57,508	3.7%	2
Virginia Military Institute	36,128	4.5%	3	45,706	4.1%	2	55,188	3.9%	3
George Mason University	32,520	4.5%	5	35,922	4.5%	4	47,382	4.2%	4
Virginia Commonwealth University	33,555	6.5%	4	35,798	6.4%	5	46,226	5.4%	5
Longwood University	24,500	8.6%	9	29,778	7.7%	7	41,524	9.0%	6
Virginia Tech	29,883	2.9%	6	31,908	2.9%	6	40,842	2.9%	7
Old Dominion University	25,947	5.9%	7	29,772	5.6%	8	40,234	5.0%	8
University of Mary Washington	24,612	4.5%	8	28,588	4.4%	10	39,318	4.6%	9
University of Virginia's College at Wise	23,421	2.9%	11	28,744	6.2%	9	39,182	4.9%	10
Christopher Newport University	21,966	7.3%	12	27,620	6.8%	12	39,080	5.4%	11
James Madison University	23,650	4.4%	10	28,416	4.2%	11	38,928	4.1%	12
Radford University	20,062	2.7%	13	23,292	2.6%	13	32,698	2.7%	13
Virginia State University	17,020	3.8%	15	20,307	4.7%	15	32,493	7.3%	14

Virginia Public Four-Year Institutions, 2018-19 Resident Graduate

<u>Institutions</u>	<u>Tuition and E&G Fees</u>			<u>Tuition and Mandatory Fees</u>		
	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>
University of Virginia	\$ 17,428	2.9%	1	\$ 19,792	3.1%	1
William and Mary	10,199	4.4%	6	15,760	5.1%	2
Virginia Tech	13,485	2.9%	2	15,510	2.9%	3
George Mason University	11,736	4.5%	4	15,138	4.5%	4
Virginia Commonwealth University	12,287	6.6%	3	14,496	6.3%	5
Longwood University	8,640	5.9%	9	13,120	15.8%	6
Old Dominion University	9,745	6.1%	7	12,864	5.4%	7
Radford University	8,973	6.9%	8	12,203	5.6%	8
Norfolk State University	8,398	5.0%	10	12,136	5.0%	9
Virginia State University	8,360	4.0%	11	11,647	3.8%	10
James Madison University	10,512	2.8%	5	11,640	2.5%	11
University of Mary Washington	8,334	4.5%	12	10,422	4.3%	12

Virginia Public Four-Year Institutions, 2018-19 Nonresident Graduate

<u>Institutions</u>	<u>Tuition and E&G Fees</u>			<u>Tuition and Mandatory Fees</u>		
	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>
George Mason University	\$ 32,322	4.5%	1	\$ 35,724	4.5%	1
William and Mary	27,793	4.4%	5	33,354	4.7%	2
University of Virginia	29,740	4.8%	2	32,104	4.8%	3
Old Dominion University	28,873	5.9%	3	31,992	5.7%	4
Virginia Tech	27,604	2.8%	6	29,629	2.8%	5
James Madison University	28,416	0.0%	4	29,544	0.0%	6
Longwood University	24,960	8.3%	8	29,440	12.3%	7
Virginia Commonwealth University	25,762	6.4%	7	27,971	6.3%	8
Norfolk State University	20,930	3.9%	9	24,668	4.0%	9
Virginia State University	19,044	3.8%	10	22,331	3.8%	10
Radford University	17,946	3.4%	12	21,176	3.2%	11
University of Mary Washington	18,036	4.5%	11	20,124	4.4%	12

National (SCHEV) Peers, 2018-19 Resident Undergraduate

<u>Institutions</u>	<u>Tuition and E&G Fees</u>			<u>Tuition and Mandatory Fees</u>			<u>Total Cost</u>		
	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Rank</u>
University of California, Davis	11,442	-0.5%	8	\$ 14,402	-0.1%	8	30,613	0.6%	1
University of California, Berkeley	11,442	-0.5%	8	14,184	0.1%	9	30,344	1.5%	2
University of Pittsburgh	18,130	0.0%	1	19,080	0.0%	1	30,130	0.3%	3
Pennsylvania State	17,416	0.0%	2	18,454	0.1%	2	30,024	1.0%	4
University of Illinois, Urbana	14,315	0.0%	5	18,283	0.7%	3	29,591	0.5%	5
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	15,885	3.0%	3	16,213	2.9%	4	27,747	3.0%	6
Rutgers University, New Brunswick	11,886	2.3%	7	14,974	2.3%	6	27,680	2.2%	7
University of Colorado, Boulder	10,728	4.7%	11	12,532	3.7%	11	26,950	3.3%	8
Michigan State	15,300	0.0%	4	15,300	0.0%	5	25,622	1.4%	9
University of Minnesota, Twin Cities	13,058	2.0%	6	14,693	1.9%	7	25,005	3.0%	10
SUNY at Buffalo	6,870	3.0%	21	10,099	2.8%	18	24,312	3.0%	11
University of Washington, Seattle	10,127	2.2%	13	11,207	2.1%	12	24,005	4.0%	12
Stony Brook University	6,870	3.0%	21	9,625	4.0%	21	23,323	2.7%	13
Ohio State	9,852	1.4%	14	10,726	1.3%	14	23,160	1.4%	14
University of Maryland, College Park	8,651	2.0%	17	10,595	1.9%	16	23,024	2.8%	15
Virginia Tech	11,420	2.9%	10	13,620	2.9%	10	22,554	2.9%	16
University of Wisconsin, Madison	9,273	0.0%	15	10,555	0.2%	17	21,669	1.4%	17
University of Texas, Austin	10,606	1.5%	12	10,606	1.5%	15	21,410	4.3%	18
Texas A&M	7,406	5.0%	20	10,968	5.4%	13	21,404	3.0%	19
University of Missouri-Columbia	8,591	1.5%	18	9,926	1.4%	20	20,712	1.2%	20
North Carolina State	6,535	0.0%	23	9,101	0.5%	22	20,179	1.3%	21
Purdue, West Lafayette	9,208	0.0%	16	9,992	0.0%	19	20,022	0.0%	22
Iowa State	7,740	3.8%	19	8,988	4.1%	23	17,708	1.8%	23
University of Florida	4,477	0.0%	24	6,381	0.0%	24	16,501	1.3%	24

National (SCHEV) Peers, 2018-19 NonResident Undergraduate

Institutions	Tuition and E&G Fees			Tuition and Mandatory Fees			Total Cost		
	\$	%	Rank	\$	%	Rank	\$	%	Rank
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	50,737	4.0%	1	\$ 51,065	3.9%	1	\$ 62,599	3.8%	1
University of California, Davis	40,344	2.1%	3	43,304	2.1%	2	59,515	1.9%	2
University of California, Berkeley	40,344	2.1%	3	43,086	2.1%	3	59,246	2.3%	3
University of Colorado, Boulder	35,482	3.2%	8	37,286	2.9%	6	51,704	3.0%	4
Michigan State	40,389	0.9%	2	40,389	0.9%	4	50,711	1.4%	5
University of Washington, Seattle	35,508	3.0%	7	36,588	3.0%	9	49,386	3.6%	6
University of Texas, Austin	37,480	1.7%	5	37,480	1.7%	5	48,284	2.9%	7
University of Wisconsin, Madison	35,523	6.0%	6	36,805	5.8%	7	47,919	5.0%	8
University of Maryland, College Park	33,272	5.0%	10	35,216	4.8%	11	47,645	4.5%	9
University of Illinois, Urbana	32,155	1.6%	12	36,123	1.8%	10	47,431	1.4%	10
Texas A&M	33,074	-2.2%	11	36,636	-1.0%	8	47,072	-0.7%	11
Pennsylvania State	33,820	3.6%	9	34,858	3.5%	12	46,428	3.3%	12
Rutgers University, New Brunswick	28,194	2.3%	17	31,282	2.3%	15	43,988	2.2%	13
Ohio State	29,868	3.6%	14	30,742	3.5%	16	43,176	2.9%	14
University of Pittsburgh	31,101	4.7%	13	32,051	4.6%	13	43,101	3.6%	15
SUNY at Buffalo	24,540	1.5%	22	27,769	1.6%	21	41,982	2.1%	16
Stony Brook University	24,540	1.5%	22	27,295	2.0%	22	40,993	1.9%	17
Virginia Tech	29,104	2.9%	15	31,908	2.9%	14	40,842	2.9%	18
University of Minnesota, Twin Cities	28,736	15.0%	16	30,371	14.2%	17	40,683	11.6%	19
North Carolina State	25,878	4.0%	19	28,444	3.8%	20	39,522	3.3%	20
Purdue, West Lafayette	28,010	0.0%	18	28,794	0.0%	18	38,824	0.0%	21
University of Florida	25,694	0.0%	21	28,658	0.0%	19	38,778	0.5%	22
University of Missouri-Columbia	25,707	2.1%	20	27,042	2.0%	23	37,828	1.7%	23
Iowa State	22,144	4.0%	24	23,392	4.1%	24	32,112	2.8%	24

National (SCHEV) Peers, 2018-19 Resident Graduate

Institutions	Tuition and E&G Fees			Tuition and Mandatory Fees		
	\$	%	Rank	\$	%	Rank
University of Pittsburgh	22,846	2.5%	2	\$ 23,696	2.4%	1
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	23,128	3.4%	1	23,456	3.3%	2
Pennsylvania State	21,540	3.9%	3	22,578	3.8%	3
University of Illinois, Urbana	15,932	1.6%	7	20,154	2.1%	4
Rutgers University, New Brunswick	17,232	2.3%	5	19,416	2.3%	5
University of Minnesota-Twin Cities	17,064	2.0%	6	18,583	1.9%	6
Michigan State	18,132	4.0%	4	18,132	4.0%	7
University of Washington, Seattle	15,510	2.0%	8	16,590	2.0%	8
Virginia Tech	13,310	2.9%	9	15,510	2.9%	9
University of Maryland, College Park	12,892	5.0%	10	14,512	4.6%	10
University of California, Berkeley	11,442	-0.5%	14	14,131	-0.3%	11
SUNY at Buffalo	11,090	2.0%	16	13,705	2.4%	12
University of California, Davis	11,442	-0.5%	14	13,570	-0.3%	13
University of Colorado, Boulder	11,484	2.9%	13	13,246	1.8%	14
Stony Brook University	11,090	2.0%	16	13,007	3.1%	15
University of Florida	10,770	0.0%	18	12,737	0.0%	16
Ohio State	11,560	0.0%	12	12,425	0.0%	17
University of Wisconsin, Madison	10,728	0.0%	19	12,010	0.2%	18
University of Texas, Austin	11,720	1.5%	11	11,720	1.5%	19
North Carolina State	8,917	5.0%	22	11,495	4.2%	20
Iowa State	9,302	4.0%	20	10,504	4.2%	21
University of Missouri-Columbia	8,822	2.1%	23	10,017	1.9%	22
Purdue, West Lafayette	9,208	0.0%	21	9,992	0.0%	23
Texas A&M	6,636	10.2%	24	9,598	7.3%	24

National (SCHEV) Peers, 2018-19 Nonresident Graduate

Institutions	Tuition and E&G Fees			Tuition and Mandatory Fees		
	\$	%	Rank	\$	%	Rank
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor	46,678	3.4%	1	\$ 47,006	3.3%	1
University of Pittsburgh	38,736	4.7%	2	39,586	4.6%	2
Pennsylvania State	36,974	3.9%	3	38,012	3.8%	3
Michigan State	35,628	4.0%	4	35,628	4.0%	4
Ohio State	34,064	3.1%	5	34,929	3.0%	5
University of Illinois, Urbana	29,624	1.6%	7	33,846	1.9%	6
University of Colorado, Boulder	30,384	3.0%	6	32,146	2.5%	7
Rutgers University, New Brunswick	29,304	2.3%	8	31,488	2.3%	8
University of Florida	27,335	0.0%	12	30,130	0.0%	9
Virginia Tech	26,825	2.9%	13	29,629	2.8%	10
University of Maryland, College Park	27,841	5.0%	10	29,461	4.8%	11
University of California, Berkeley	26,544	-0.2%	14	29,233	-0.1%	12
University of Washington, Seattle	27,801	2.0%	11	28,881	2.0%	13
Purdue, West Lafayette	28,010	0.0%	9	28,794	0.0%	14
University of California, Davis	26,544	-0.2%	14	28,672	-0.1%	15
North Carolina State	25,405	6.0%	17	27,983	5.6%	16
University of Minnesota, Twin Cities	26,412	2.0%	16	27,931	2.0%	17
University of Missouri-Columbia	24,156	2.1%	18	25,351	2.3%	18
University of Wisconsin, Madison	24,054	0.0%	19	25,336	0.1%	19
SUNY at Buffalo	22,650	2.0%	21	25,265	2.2%	20
Iowa State	23,564	4.0%	20	24,766	4.1%	21
Stony Brook University	22,650	2.0%	21	24,567	2.6%	22
University of Texas, Austin	22,622	2.1%	23	22,622	2.1%	23
Texas A&M	17,988	8.7%	24	20,950	7.6%	24

DISCUSSION

Comprehensive Fee Components for 2019-20

	2018-19 Charge	Proposed 2019-20	Increase	
			\$	%
Comprehensive Fee				
Student Activity Fee	\$ 321	\$ 330	\$ 9	2.8%
Health Service Fee	447	471	24	5.4%
Athletic Fee	317	326	9	2.8%
Transportation Services Fee	165	171	6	3.6%
Recreational Sports Fee	313	327	14	4.5%
Student Services Fee	289	298	9	3.1%
Student Cultural Activities Fee	173	173	0	0.0%

Total Comprehensive

Fee ~~\$ 2,025~~ ~~\$ 2,096~~ ~~\$ 71~~ ~~3.5%~~
 Comprehensive fees at the other Virginia colleges range from \$243 to \$5,830.

Veterinary Medicine

	2018-19 Charge	Proposed 2019-20	Increase	
			\$	%
Virginia/Maryland Students				
Tuition	21,372	21,906	534	2.5%
Educational and General Fee	175	175	0	0.0%
Comprehensive Fee	2,025	2,096	71	3.5%
Vet Med Facility Fee	1,200	1,200	0	0.0%
Total Cost for Virginia/Maryland Students	24,772	25,377	605	2.4%
Out-of-State Students				
Tuition	49,301	50,435	1,134	2.3%
Educational and General Fee	779	779	0	0.0%
Comprehensive Fee	2,025	2,096	71	3.5%
Vet Med Facility Fee	1,200	1,200	0	0.0%
Total Cost for Out-of-State Students	53,305	54,510	1,205	2.3%

Virginia Tech Carilion School of Medicine

- VTCSOM was integrated as the ninth college at Virginia Tech on July 1, 2018

	2018-19 Charge	Proposed 2019-20	Increase	
			\$	%
Tuition	51,818	53,113	1,295	2.5%
Educational and General Fee	175	175	0	0.0%
VTCSOM Student Services Fees (a)	752	768	16	2.1%
Total Cost	52,745	54,056	1,311	2.5%

(a) Includes VTCSOM Student Services, Health Services, and Student Government/Activities fees that apply to all Medical students.