

MINUTES

University Curriculum Committee for Liberal Education (UCCLE)
October 3, 2012 – 2:00–3:15 p.m.
230 Student Services Building

Members Present: Althea Aschmann, Klaus Elgert, Richard Ferraro, Virginia Fowler, Kurt Hoffman, Ann-Marie Knoblauch, Kate McConnell, Carolyn Meier, Robert Oliver, Marie Paretti, Marlene Preston, Renee Selberg-Eaton, Debbie Smith, Dan Thorp

Guests Present: Bonnie Alberts

Members Absent: Paul Heilker, Sam Hicks

1. **Call to Order** – The meeting was called to order by Kurt Hoffman, Chair, at 2:00 p.m.
2. **Approval of Agenda** – A motion was made to approve the Agenda. It was seconded and approved unanimously.
3. **Approval of Minutes of September 5, 2012** – The minutes of the September 5, 2012, meeting, were electronically approved on September 28, 2012.
4. **Recommendation for Second Reading – GER 3474 (Area 6)** – A motion was made, seconded and approved unanimously to remove GER 3474 from the First Reading. A discussion followed. Ginny Fowler stated that her proposal group agreed that this course should be included in the Curriculum for Liberal Education (CLE), and that it meets the requirements of Area 6. She asked that clarification be made that states GER 3474 be correctly recorded as the course number appears on page 1 and the last page, as it is not consistent. Also, there is no signature on the proposal. A revised copy of the proposal will be sent to the Recording Secretary, Bonnie Alberts. A motion was made, seconded and approved unanimously to approve GER 3474 with said corrections.
5. **Recommendation for First Reading – SOC 2034 (Area 3)** – Carolyn Meier spoke on behalf of the proposal subcommittee stating that her committee is reviewing the proposal electronically and it should be ready to vote on at the December 5, 2012, UCCLC meeting.
6. **Discussion of the New CLE –**
Discussion/Vote/Discourse Requirement
Dan Thorp discussed the last meeting of the CLE's working group (a review/advisory committee for proposals pertaining to the new CLE, comprised of people who have a vested interest in the CLE). He stated 2 points presented at their last meeting: 1) There is concern that if students do not meet the discourse of Advanced Placement (AP) courses, they will choose another institution, and 2) Students coming in with AP credit would be allowed to select from a second track. Their concern is that it will be too confusing. Also, if a student declines to use their AP credits, they can take an easier English class. There was discussion regarding how to change the wording of the committee's draft proposal/discourse, because the wording must be specific. More discussion followed.

Preliminary Discussion – Computational Requirement

Kurt Hoffman stated that a discussion of the computational requirements will take place at the October 17, 2012, meeting to be held in 230 Student Services Building, from 2-4 p.m.

7. **Other Business** – There was none.
8. **Adjournment** – A motion was made to adjourn the meeting at 3:15 p.m. The motion was seconded and approved unanimously.

Respectfully submitted by:
Bonnie Alberts