MINUTES
University Curriculum Committee for Liberal Education (UCCLE)
September 4, 2013, 2:30-3:45 p.m.
230 Student Services Building

Members Present: Blake Barnhill, Sheila Carter-Tod, Klaus Elgert, Richard Ferraro, Ann-Marie Knoblauch, Kate McConnell (for Ray Van Dyke), Carolyn Meier, Robert Oliver, Marie Paretti, Marlene Preston, Renee Selberg-Eaton, Jill Sible, Deborah Smith, Daniel Strock

Members Absent: Althea Aschmann, Paul Heilker, Vincent Magnini

Guests Present: Rachel Holloway, Dakota Farquier-Caddell, Stephen Biscotte, Willie Caldwell,

1. Call to Order: Meeting called to order by Marlene Preston
2. Approval of agenda: Motion approved, seconded, and passed unanimously
3. Approval of minutes: Minutes from the May 1 meeting were approved electronically May 15, 2013.

4. Committee charge and role in governance
   • Marlene Preston provided handouts outlining committee charge, role in governance, and timeline of CLE development.
   • Discussion held over procedure for current CLE proposals. Committee determined that proposals can be accepted this year.

5. Staffing and structure: Jill Sible
   • Jill Sible proposed building teams of faculty, guided by the members of UCCLE, to develop learning outcomes.
   • UCCLE members will be needed to garner support from faculty.
   • Pilots will be invited this year for summer and winter terms. UCCLE will oversee pilot accountability as it fits with the CLE.

6. Goals for 2013-14, Subcommittees and student input
   • Committee discussed potential UCCLE sub-committee tasks, such as substitutions, pilots, transition logistics, resources, self-study of committee membership, and faculty input sessions. Preston will survey committee members regarding interests.
   • No subcommittees will be necessary for proposal review.
   • A student group will be established to provide input and feedback.

7. Responses to IGE Draft – Rachel Holloway
   • Rachel Holloway indicated that faculty have raised questions and expressed concerns about funding, resources, and implementation.
   • Revision of general education must meet existing parameters (SACS, SCHEV) and respond to challenges (such as the needs of transfer students).
   • Holloway reported that the Provost is supportive of a new system in which the faculty are invested and students gain a coherent experience.
   • Committee members inquired about and discussed administrative changes, current status, and feedback received to date.
8. **Other Business**
   Blake Barnhill described strategies for connecting with students and invited membership to If add questions to SGA survey.

9. **Adjournment**: A motion was made to adjourn the meeting at 3:45 p.m. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously.

Minutes submitted by Stephen Biscotte